Route 155

Updated: December 2011

Cleveland Loop on Route 10 June 26, 1933.

1953 Description:

From Washboard Junction on Route 10 southerly to Cleveland, thence southwesterly to Huntington on Route 10.

1963 Description:

This route was reversed and approved by the 1963 Legislature.

From Route 10 in Huntington northeasterly to Cleveland, thence northerly to Route 10 at Washboard Junction.

Approved by the 1965 Legislature:

**(*(A) Scanned)

1967 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
1969 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
1971 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
1973 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
1975 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
	Description remains the same.
1771 Degistature.	Description remains the same.

1998 Legislative Description:

From Route 10 in Huntington northeasterly to Cleveland; then northerly to Route 10 at Washboard Junction.

1999 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
2000 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
2001 Legislature:	Description remains the same.
2002 Legislature:	Description remains the same.

Route 155 Cont.

2003 Legislature: Description remains the same.
2004 Legislature: Description remains the same.
2005 Legislature: Description remains the same.
2006 Legislature: Description remains the same.
2007 Legislature: Description remains the same.
2008 Legislature: Description remains the same.
2011 Legislature: Description remains the same.

^{*} Refers to resolution index page following.

^{**} Refers to Scanned Computer Resolution index on the following page.

Route 155

COUNTY/RESOLUTION VOLUME & NUMBER

A. Emery Co. 1/111

DESCRIPTION OF RESOLUTION CHANGE

(A). Relocation/New Alignment - 1.8 miles northeast of Huntington.

Interim Designations and Deletions - Federal-Aid Highways Authority: Sec. 27-12-27, UCA, 1953, as Amended

RESOLUTION T

State Routes 2, 6, 8, 15, 24, 26, 29, 44, 54, 123, 155, 236, 259

WHEREAS, with the completion of various projects resulting in the reconstruction of new roadway on new alignment and

WHEREAS, portions of the old alignment will no longer serve as roadways but nevertheless other sections will still serve as public roads, though not justified as part of the State Highway System and

WHEREAS, a physical inventory was made of all roadways concerned in this resloution and

WHEREAS, all county officials concerned were contacted and their letters of concurrence in our recommendations are forthcoming and

WHEREAS, it has been recommended by the District Engineers concerned.

NOW THEREFORE, pursuant to Authority 12-27-12, UCA, 1953, AS AMENDED,
it is hereby resolved as follows:

1. Route 2 - Summit County, Project I-80-4(8)190, west of Wyoming line, a distance of 4.373 miles built on new location. Three sections of the old roadway are no longer within the N/A line and are of no further use as public roadway, therefore all portions of roadway on old alignment are abandoned, a distance of 4.200 miles, resulting in an increase of 0.173 mile in the State System of Highways.

Route 6 - Uintah County, Project F-015-3(4) west of Vernal, a distance of 2.055 miles built on new location. All portions of old alignment have either been obliterated or barricaded by barriers, therefore, all portions of the old alignment are abandoned, a distance of 2.405 miles, resulting in a decrease of 0.350 mile in the State System of Highways.

Route 8 - Emery County, Projects F-028-3(5) and F-028-3(6) south of

111

Carbon County line, a total distance of 14.712 miles built on new location. All portions of the old alignment have either been obliterated, including removal of some structures, or barricaded, with the exception of that portion of old alignment from a connection with the new alignment south of Price River northerly to Woodside, a distance of 0.7 + - mile. Therefore, all portions of the old alignment are being abandoned, a distance of 14.299 miles, with the exception of that portion that is being used as a public road from a connection with the new alignment south of Price River to Woodside which is transferred to the jurisdiction of Emery County, resulting in an increase of 0.7 + - mile in Emery County "B" mileage and a decrease of 0.287 mile in the State System of Highways.

Route 15 - Kane County, Projects F-014-1(2) and FLH-37-(1) east of Zion

Park Boundary, a distance of 2.809 built on new location. All portions of the old

alignment have been closed to the public with the exception of that portion of the

old alignment from a connection with the new alignment northeasterly to a mine road,

a distance of 0.400 mile. Therefore, all portions of the old alignment are aban
doned, a distance of 3.942 miles, with the exception of that portion being used

as a connecting roadway to the mine road which is transferred to the jurisdiction

of Kane County, resulting in an increase of 0.4 + - mile in Kane County "B" mileage

and a decrease of 1.533 mile in the State System of Highways.

Route 24 - Wayne County, Projects NS-371(1) and S-0371(5) east of Capitol Reef Monument, a distance of 14.484 miles built on new location. From Engineer Station 851 + - to 652 + - transferred to the jurisdiction of Wayne County, a distance of 3.600 miles, all remaining portions of the old alignment have been obliterated and, therefore, are abandoned, a distance of 11.646 miles, resulting in an increase of 3.6 + - miles in Wayne County "B" mileage and a decrease of 0.762 mile in the State System of Highways.

Route 26 - Juab County, Project F-029-3(2) from a junction with State Route 148 southwesterly, a distance of 6.615 miles built on new location. From

Engineer Station 1201 + - to 1115 + - the old alignment has been obliterated and, therefore, is abandoned, a distance of 1.949 mile. From Engineer Station 1115 + - to 85 + - to be transferred to the jurisdiction of Juab County, a distance of 5.030 miles, resulting in an increase of 5.0 + - miles in Juab County "B" mileage and a decrease of 0.364 mile in the State System of Highways.

Route 29 - Emery County, Project NR-29-1 Sanpete County line easterly, a distance of 5.908 miles built on new location. All portions of old roadway abandoned, a distance of 1.900 mile, as it will be inundated as a result of the construction of Joes Valley Reservoir, resulting in an increase of 4.008 miles in the State System of Highways.

Route 44 - Daggett County, Project S-0192(1) south of Manila, a distance of 2.186 miles built on new location. From Engineer Station 2145 + - to 2069 + - will serve as a public roadway and, therefore, is transferred to the jurisdiction of Daggett County, a distance of 2.400 miles, resulting in an increase of 2.4 + - miles in Daggett County "B" mileage and a decrease of 0.712 mile in the State System of Highways.

Route 54 - Garfield County, Project S-0392(5) near Escalante, a distance of 3.818 miles built on new location. From Engineer Station 226 + - to 111 + - to be abandoned as connections to this section of old alignment have been obliterated, a distance of 2.727 miles. From Engineer Station 111 + - to 44 + - to be transferred to the jurisdiction of Garfield County, a distance of 1.046 mile and from Engineer Station 44 + - 25 + - be transferred to the jurisdiction of Escalante City, a distance of 0.629 mile, resulting in an increase of 0.6 + - mile in the Escalante City "C" mileage, an increase of 1.0 + - mile in Garfield County "B" mileage and a decrease of 0.584 mile in the State System of Highways.

of 0.625 mile built on new location. All portions of old alignment will still serve as a public road, a distance of 0.691 mile and, therefore, will be transferred to

The construction on new location, transfers and abandonments indicated in the accompanying resolution resulted in a total of 64.816 miles being built on new location, 0.629 mile transferred to City "C" mileage, 18.767 miles transferred to County "B" mileage and 46.095 miles abandoned, resulting in a decrease of 0.675 mile in the State System of Highways.

the jurisdiction of Carbon County, resulting in an increase of 0.7 + - mile in Carbon County "B" mileage and a decrease of 0.066 mile in the State System of Highways.

Route 155 and 236 - Project NS-338(1) 1.8 mile northeast of Huntington, a distance of 0.492 mile built on new location. All portions of old alignment abandoned as they will be inundated by the construction of Huntington Reservoir, a distance of 0.47 mile, resulting in an increase of 0.017 mile in the State System of Highways.

Route 259 - Kane County, Project F-035-1(4) Kanab easterly, a distance of 6.739 miles built on new location. From Engineer Station 98 + - to 266 + - and commencing again at Station 295 + - to 383 + - to be transferred to the jurisdiction of Kane County, a distance of 4.900 miles, as they will still serve as a public roadway, all remaining portions of the old alignment have been made inacessable, a distance of 2.054 miles, therefore, are abandoned, resulting in an increase of 4.9 + - miles in Kane County "B" mileage and a decrease of 0.215 mile in the State System of Highways.

		2.	That	the	maps	at	tac	ched	her	ewith	illustrating	the	action	taken	here-
with	is	hereby	inco	orpo	rated	as	a	part	of	this	submission.				

Dated this _____ 19th ____ day of _____ April _____, 1965.

STATE ROAD COMMISSION OF UTAH

Chairman

Commissioner

Eliasterone

Commissioner

acting Secretary Brossard

Office Memorandum . UTAH STATE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS

. Mr. Dale B. Burningham

DATE: January 26, 1965

Chief Research Engineer, Research Section RECEIVED

FROM : Mr. W. E. Mickelson

District Engineer

1965 JAN 28 AM 10 33

SUBJECT: Status of Old Roads

I-80-4(8)190 Wahsatch to the Wyoming State Librah STATE F-001-8(2) Bear River Bridge East of Corinne OF HIGHWAYS

The status of the old abandoned roads on the subject projects have been reviewed and following is my recommendation:

- F-001-8(2) The old road should remain as it is at the present time. It lies on right-of-way that we have by agreement from the railroad. In addition, the old road and bridge are intended to be used for a stock trail for crossing the Bear River.
- 1-80-4(8)190 Three sections of the old road are no longer within the N/A lines, as itemized below, and are of no further use to us for roadway purposes. These three sections should revert to private ownership.

820 + - 836 + right side

958 + - 971 + right side

984 + - 991 + left side

Office Memorandum . UTAH STATE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS

TO : B. Dale Burningham, Chief Research Engr. DATE: January 25, 1965 LIVED

FROM : J. Q. Adair, Dist. Engr.

SUBJECT: Road Deletions

1965 JAN 26 AM 10

DEPT. OF HIGHWAYS


We have listed the following projects that have been constructed in the last couple of years and sections of road that should be deleted from our system:

S-0294(1) Dragerton (Culvert & Approaches) F-028-3(6) Woodside Northerly NR-29(1) 7 Miles W. of Orangeville (Joe's Valley) NS-338(1) Huntington Northerly - Mohrland Connection NR-24-2(1) Huntington North on SR-10

The NR-24-2(1) Huntington North Section has bypassed the location where the Huntington Reservoir is being constructed by the Bureau of Reclamation. A portion of this right-of-way has probably been acquired by the Bureau of Reclamation people.

JQA:sj

Aug te	Payort she	when	Road Souther	Man Langton	miles Trans	miks trans	ports Permon	Taket miles	
	I-15-4 (8) 190	Summy +	22-2-4	4.373			4,200	4.200	
6	F-015-3 (4)	6.20	20-6 2	2000			2400	21105	
	T-128-3 (5)	Homery	8-8-1	2/20			6.087	2087	
60	F028-3 (6)	4	8-8-1	8592		0.700	82/2	8168	
15 /	F-014-1(2)	Kane	13-15-2	2305		0 400	2927	3327	
	FLH-37W	a	13-15-2	1504			1.015	1.015	
	NS-371 (1)	Wayne .	28-24-4	5623		3600	24/2	6.012	
	5.0371(5)	V	28.24-4	8.861			9234	9,234	
	F-029-3(2)	Tust	12.26.1	6615		5030	1.949	6979	
29 4	NR-221	Times	8.29-1	3908			1900	1900	
3	S-0192 (1)	Desset	5-44-2	2/8%		2000	0 490	2898	
54 5	5-0392 (5)	Gerfield	9.54-2	3818	0.629	2.046	2 727	4 400	
52	S DROY (1) Relication	Carlon	4.123.1	0625		0.691		1690	
	Our to Project No. NS-33B(1) Emeny	38(1) Emery	8:155-1	No Construction			0.250	0200	
236 1	NS-338(1)	4	8-8-8-1	1492			1225	0 225	
9	F D35-1(4)	Kana	13-259-1	6739		4900	2054	6.954	
				69.816	0.629	18767	46,095		





Kane County Clerk

KANAB, UTAH

March 12, 1965

Utah State Department of Highways Transportation - Research Section State Office Building Salt Lake City, Utah

> Re: Kane County Nos: F-014-1 (2) FLH 37 (1)

Gentlemen:


Please be advised that the Kane County Commission will be very happy to accept as additions to the Kare County Road System your projects Nos. F-014-1 (2) and FLH-37 (1) for county supervision and maintainance.


Yours very truly,


KANE COUNTY COMMISSION


Thomas H. Haycock


Kane County Clerk

















Interim Designations and Deletions - Federal-aid Highways Authority: Sec. 27-12-27, UCA, 1953, As Amended

RESOLUTION 8 155

State Routes 10 & 155

WHEREAS, with the completion of Project F-024-2(8) from near the Carbon County line southerly toward Huntington, a distance of 4.8 + - miles, has resulted in the construction on new alignment, sections of new roadway, and

WHEREAS, remaining portions of the old alignment will no longer serve as a public roadway, and

WHEREAS, it has been recommended by Mr. James L. Deaton, District Engineer, that all portions of the old alignment be abandoned, and

WHEREAS, to maintain continuity in the State System of Highways.

NOW THEREFORE, pursuant to the Authority of Section 27-12-27, UCA, 1953, As Amended, it is hereby resolved as follows:

- That all portions of State Route 10 constructed on new alignment as a result of Project F-024-2(8) be designated as a part of State Route 10.
- That all remaining portions of the old alignment of State Route 10 be abandoned from the State System of Highways.
- That the new connection of State Route 155 to the new alignment of State Route 10 be designated as a part of State Route 155.
- That by this action State Highway System mileage will decrease
 0.125 mile.
- 5. That the map attached herewith illustrating the action taken herewith be hereby incorporated as a part of this submission.
- 6. That the memorandum from Mr. James L. Deaton, pertaining to his recommendation for the abandonment of the aforementioned roadway be hereby incorporated as a part of this submission.

Dated	this_	2,26	day	of_	32	do		1969	9.
-------	-------	------	-----	-----	----	----	--	------	----

RESOLUTION State Routes 10 & 155

Auston Va Aamilla

ATTEST:

UTAH STATE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS

bc: Howard B. Leatham, Engineer for Planning & Programming

Resolution & Location

Map sent to: James Deaton

W. L. Anderson
Ralph Murdock
Dean Steed
Porter M. Gooch
Robert Walsh
Lillian Witkowski
J. Edward Johnston
James N. Adams
Janiel Little
Chauncey Powis

Maurice RiChey

Wallace Liddle
David Sargent
Alex B. Mansour
Keith Rosevear
Robin Hood
Harold Brown
Ray Behling
Lucy Ann Bean
John W. Homer
Evelyn Crill
Ezra Christensen

E. Paul Gilgen Charles Bertolina Jim West Ellen Wandell Don Jensen Ken Riddle Winston Neiman Robert Weadon

April 7, 1969

Mr. Norm Hancock Game Management Section Utah State Fish & Game Department 1596 West North Temple Salt Lake City, Utah 84104

Dear Mr. Hancock:

Subject: Addition of State Route 155,

Deletion of the old alignment of State Route 10

in Emery County

Effective March 28, 1969, the State Road Commission adopted a resolution to abandon the old alignment of State Route 10 created by the construction of Project F-024-2(8), and to designate the new connection of State Route 155 to the new alignment of State Route 10 as a part of State Route 155.

Attached is a copy of the resolution and a location map.

Very truly yours,

B. Dale Burningham Chief Research Engineer

Attachment

Memorandum.

UTAH STATE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS

DATE: October 28, 1968

TO

B. Dale Burningham

Planning Statistics Supervisor

FROM

James L. Deaton

District Engineer

SUBJECT:

Disposition of Highways resulting from reconstruction on

new alignment

Pursuant to your memorandum dated October 22, 1968, it is the District Engineer's recommendation that the following highways on the old alignment be abandoned: Projects F-024-2(8), F-028-3(9), FLH-42(6), and S-0370(4).

For your further information, there is not enough of the old existing alignment remaining outside of the new Right-of-Way lines that would involve local concern, and accordingly, local authorities have not been consulted in this recommendation.

JLD:sl

